## EXHIBIT 12

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: : Case No. 23-10763

STREAM TV NETWORKS, INC. CH: 11:

AND TECHNOVATIVE MEDIA,

INC. : Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

: November 13, 2024

: 11:00 a.m.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ASHELY M. CHAN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For SeeCubic, Inc.: Marley Brumme, Esq.

Skadden Arps Slate Meagher &

Flom, LLP

500 Boylston Street, 23rd Floor

Boston, MA 021116

617-573-4800

For Rembrandt: Andrew Peter Demarco

Devlin Law Firm, LLC 1526 Gilpin Avenue Wilmington, DE 19806

302-449-9010

Christopher Michaels

For SSG Capital Advisors: Samuel Charlton

For VSI: John H. Thompson

Akerman

750 Ninth Street, N.W.

Suite 750

Washington, D.C. 20001

202-393-6222

For Hawk Investment Holdings

Ltd.:

Steven Caponi, Esq.

Margaret Westbrook, Esq.

K&L Gates

600 N. King Street, Suite 901

Wilmington, DE 19801

302-416-7080

Jonathan N. Edel, Esq.

300 South Tryon St., Suite 1000

Charlotte, NC 28202

For the Trustee:

Michael D. Vagnoni, Esq.
Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell &
Hippel LLP
Centre Square West
1500 Market Street, Suite 3400
Philadelphia Ph. 10102

Philadelphia, PA 19102

215-665-3066

Steven M. Coren, Esq.
Kaufman Coren & Ress, P.C.
Two Commerce Square
Suite 3900
2001 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2713

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by TheRecordXchange.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

```
Why during the year plus that I've been coming to
this court and the bankruptcy have they not, when Mr. Rajan
controls everything, get relief from the Court?
          THE COURT:
                     Okay. So let's everyone just return to
my focus, which is what is being sold?
          Did you want to say something, ma'am?
          MS. BRUMME:
                       Yes, briefly, Your Honor.
          THE COURT:
                     Who do you represent?
                       Marley Ann Brumme from Skadden on behalf
          MS. BRUMME:
of SeeCubic, Inc., the Delaware entity. And contrary to what
our friends over here have to say, the supervision process of
the return of the assets from SeeCubic, Inc. back to Stream was
supervised by Vice Chancelor Laster and we've been hearing for
a year at least that SeeCubic, Inc. has not returned things.
          That Mr. Stastney has things and until this bit of
evidence that he's presented here today about the Trustee
apparently seeing a demo unit, we've never seen any evidence to
substantiate that SeeCubic, Inc., the US entity and Mr.
Stastney, retained any assets.
          What I think is getting lost here is that Stream TV,
as I think Your Honor understands, is a holding company.
demo units were developed and built by SeeCubic B.V. in the
Netherlands, which is five entities down in the corporate
structure.
           Any demo unit that has been produced and especially
one that Mr. Homony has seen would be from SeeCubic B.V., which
```

```
1
    is not a debtor here.
 2
               Further, Mr. Stastney, which they love to impugn
 3
    here.
 4
               THE COURT:
                           The entity that you just said, though,
    are they trying to sell the equity in that entity? The one
 5
 6
    that's not the Debtor?
 7
                            It's five levels down.
              MS. BRUMME:
                                                     So Stream --
 8
               THE COURT:
                          But they are trying? That is being sold
 9
    as part of the --
10
                            The equity would be sold in the first
              MS. BRUMME:
11
    level subsidiaries.
12
                          And so they would own that equity?
               THE COURT:
13
              MS. BRUMME: And then it would waterfall down.
14
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
15
                            Mr. Stastney notably is the director of
              MS. BRUMME:
16
    SeeCubic B.V. the Netherlands entity that develops all the
17
    tech, and Mr. Stastney was installed as director by a
18
    Netherlands Court when moving Mr. Rajan.
19
               So any sort of implication that Mr. Stastney and
20
    SeeCubic, Inc. are unlawfully retaining anything, there's no
21
    evidence of that, one. And two, they can't conflate SeeCubic,
22
    Inc. and what's going on at SeeCubic B.V.
2.3
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                  Thank you. Okay.
                                                      Let's stop one
24
    second.
25
               So I think what would be helpful for me is if we
```